

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COLUMBIA DIVISION

United States of America,	)	CRIMINAL NO. 3:08-590 (CMC)
	)	
v.	)	<b>OPINION and ORDER</b>
	)	
Gonzales March,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

This matter is before the court pursuant to Defendant’s *pro se* motion for reconsideration. ECF No. 1594. The Government has not responded to Defendant’s motion.

Defendant seeks reconsideration of the court’s denial of relief under Amendment 782. *See* Order, ECF Nos. 1590. Defendant again argues that he entitled to relief under Amendment 782. *See generally* Motion.

Pursuant to *United States v. Goodwyn*, 596 F.3d 233, 236 (4th Cir. 2010), “the clear intent of § 3582 is to *constrain* postjudgment sentence modifications . . . .” Section § 3582(c) “gives a district court one—and only one—opportunity to apply the retroactive amendments and modify the sentence.” *United States v. Mann*, 435 F. App’x 254, 254 (2011) (citing *Goodwyn*, 596 F.3d at 236); *see also United States v. Redd*, 630 F.3d 649, 651 (7th Cir. 2011). Accordingly, this court is without jurisdiction to entertain Defendant’s motion for relief under § 3582(c).

Therefore, Defendant’s motion for reconsideration is dismissed as this court is without jurisdiction to entertain it.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie  
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE  
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina  
March 9, 2015